

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/677,198	NATAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ardin Marschel	1631	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Ardin Marschel(SPE).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Katherine Lobel-Rice(Apl. Rep.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 February 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Lobel-Rice verified that this application has gone abandoned and that only a time extension has been filed after the Notice of Appeal, filed 10/9/03.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2/27/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)